UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ADRIAN SHERMAN,

Plaintiff,

NO. CV-10-458-JPH

ELDON VAIL,

vs.

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1915(g)

Before the Court, without oral argument, is Plaintiff's Objections to the Court's Dismissal with Prejudice, ECF No. 32. On May 16, 2011, Magistrate Judge Hutton recommended dismissal of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, ECF No. 22, challenging a policy which restricts prisoners' possession of pornographic material. Plaintiff, a prisoner currently housed at the Clallam Bay Corrections Center, is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis; Defendant Vail has not been served.

After review of Plaintiff's Objections and the record as a whole, IT IS ORDERED: the Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED IN ITS ENTIRETY and the Second Amended Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. See Frost v. Symington, 197 F.3d 348 (9th Cir. 1999) (recognizing that prisoners' access to sexually explicit material may be restricted without violating the First Amendment because such restrictions are rationally related to legitimate penological interests); Mauro v. Arpaio, 188 F.3d 1054 (9th

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT -- 1

Cir. 1999) (en banc) (same); United States v. Bee, 162 F.3d 1232 (9th Cir. 1998)(There is no unqualified First Amendment right to "sexually stimulating or sexually oriented materials."); see also, e.g., Dawson v. Scurr, 986 F.2d 257 (8th Cir. 1993), cert. denied sub nom., Shearon v. Lynch, 510 U.S. 884 (1993); Thompson v. Patterson, 985 F.2d 202 (5th Cir. 1993).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), enacted April 26, 1996, a prisoner who brings three or more civil actions or appeals which are dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim will be precluded from bringing any other civil action or appeal in forma pauperis "unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff is advised to read the new statutory provisions under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This dismissal of Plaintiff's complaint may count as one of the three dismissals allowed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and may adversely affect his ability to file future claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order, enter judgment, forward copies to Plaintiff at his last known address, and close the file. The District Court Executive is further directed to forward a copy of this Order to the Office of the Attorney General of Washington, Criminal Justice Division. The court certifies any appeal of this dismissal would not be taken in good faith.

DATED this 27th day of June 2011.

s/ Edward F. Shea EDWARD F. SHEA United States District Judge

Q:\Civil\2010\10cv458jph-6-13-dis1915g.wpd

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT $--\ 2$